

# CHAIN MIXING ENDOMORPHISMS ARE APPROXIMATED BY SUBSHIFTS ON THE CANTOR SET

TAKASHI SHIMOMURA

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $f$  be a chain mixing continuous onto mapping from the Cantor set onto itself. Let  $g$  be a homeomorphism on the Cantor set that is topologically conjugate to a subshift. Then, homeomorphisms that are topologically conjugate to  $g$  approximate  $f$  in the topology of uniform convergence if a trivial necessary condition on the periodic points holds. In particular, if  $f$  is a chain mixing continuous onto mapping from the Cantor set onto itself with a fixed point, then homeomorphisms on the Cantor set that are topologically conjugate to a subshift approximate  $f$  in the topology of uniform convergence. In addition, homeomorphisms on the Cantor set that are topologically conjugate to a subshift without periodic points approximate any chain mixing continuous onto mappings from the Cantor set onto itself. In particular, let  $f$  be a homeomorphism on the Cantor set that is topologically conjugate to a full shift. Let  $g$  be a homeomorphism on the Cantor set that is topologically conjugate to a subshift. Then, a sequence of homeomorphisms that is topologically conjugate to  $g$  approximates  $f$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $(X, d)$  be a compact metric space. Let  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be a continuous onto mapping. In this manuscript, the pair  $(X, f)$  is called a *topological dynamical system*. Let  $\mathcal{H}^+(X)$  be the set of all topological dynamical systems on  $X$ . For any  $f$  and  $g$  in  $\mathcal{H}^+(X)$ , we define  $d(f, g) := \sup_{x \in X} d(f(x), g(x))$ . Then,  $(\mathcal{H}^+(X), d)$  is a metric space of uniform convergence.  $\mathcal{H}(X)$  denotes the set of all homeomorphisms from  $X$  onto itself. In this manuscript, we mainly consider the case in which  $X$  is homeomorphic to the Cantor set, denoted by  $C$ . T. Kimura [3, Theorem 1] and I [4] have shown that the subset of  $\mathcal{H}(C)$  consisting of all expansive homeomorphisms with the pseudo-orbit tracing property is dense in  $\mathcal{H}(C)$ .  $\text{SFT}(C)$  denotes the set of all  $f \in \mathcal{H}(C)$  that is topologically conjugate to some two-sided subshift of finite type. Then,  $\text{SFT}(C)$  coincides with the set of all expansive  $f \in \mathcal{H}(C)$  with the pseudo-orbit tracing property (P. Walters [5, Theorem 1]). Therefore,  $\text{SFT}(C)$  is dense in  $\mathcal{H}(C)$ . A topological dynamical system  $(X, f)$  is said to be *topologically mixing* if for any pair of non-empty open sets  $U, V \subset X$ , there exists a non-negative integer  $N$  such that  $f^n(U) \cap V \neq \emptyset$  for all  $n > N$ . In [4], it is shown that if  $f \in \mathcal{H}$  is topologically mixing, then there exists a sequence  $\{g_k\}_{k=1,2,\dots}$  of topologically mixing elements of  $\text{SFT}(C)$  such that

---

*Date:* December 13, 2010.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 37B10, 54H20.

*Key words and phrases.* Cantor set, shift, subshift, subshift of finite type, chain mixing, approximation.

$g_k \rightarrow f$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $f$  be a chain mixing element of  $\mathcal{H}^+(C)$  and  $g$ , an element of  $\mathcal{H}(C)$  that is topologically conjugate to a two-sided subshift. In this manuscript, we consider the condition in which homeomorphisms that are topologically conjugate to  $g$  approximate  $f$ . Let  $(X, f)$  be a topological dynamical system and  $\delta > 0$ . A sequence  $\{x_i\}_{i=0,1,\dots,l}$  of elements of  $X$  is a  $\delta$  chain from  $x_0$  to  $x_l$  if  $d(f(x_i), x_{i+1}) < \delta$  for all  $i = 0, 1, \dots, l-1$ . Then,  $l$  is called the length of the chain. A topological dynamical system  $(X, f)$  is *chain mixing* if for every  $\delta > 0$  and every pair  $x, y \in X$ , there exists a positive integer  $N$  such that for all  $n > N$ , there exists a  $\delta$  chain from  $x$  to  $y$  of length  $n$ . Let  $(X, f)$  and  $(Y, g)$  be topological dynamical systems. We write  $(Y, g) \triangleright (X, f)$  if there exists a sequence of homeomorphisms  $\{\psi_k\}_{k=1,2,\dots}$  from  $Y$  onto  $X$  such that  $\psi_k \circ g \circ \psi_k^{-1} \rightarrow f$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . If  $(Y, g) \triangleright (X, f)$  and if  $g^n$  has a fixed point for some positive integer  $n$ , then  $f^n$  must also have a fixed point. We write  $(Y, g) \xrightarrow{\text{per}} (X, f)$  if this trivial necessary condition on periodic points holds. We show the following:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $X$  be homeomorphic to the Cantor set. Let  $(X, f)$  be a chain mixing topological dynamical system. Let  $(\Lambda, \sigma)$  be a two-sided subshift such that  $\Lambda$  is homeomorphic to  $C$ . Then, the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $(\Lambda, \sigma) \xrightarrow{\text{per}} (X, f)$ ;
- (2)  $(\Lambda, \sigma) \triangleright (X, f)$ .

**Corollary 1.2.** *Let  $X$  be homeomorphic to the Cantor set. Let  $(X, f)$  be a chain mixing topological dynamical system with a fixed point. Let  $(\Lambda, \sigma)$  be a two-sided subshift such that  $\Lambda$  is homeomorphic to  $C$ . Then,  $(\Lambda, \sigma) \triangleright (X, f)$ .*

**Corollary 1.3.** *Let  $X$  be homeomorphic to the Cantor set. Let  $(X, f)$  be a chain mixing topological dynamical system. Let  $(\Lambda, \sigma)$  be a two-sided subshift such that  $\Lambda$  is homeomorphic to  $C$  without periodic points. Then,  $(\Lambda, \sigma) \triangleright (X, f)$ .*

**Corollary 1.4.** *Let  $n > 1$  be an integer. Let  $(\Sigma_n, \sigma)$  be the two-sided full shift of  $n$  symbols. Let  $(\Lambda, \sigma)$  be a two-sided subshift such that  $\Lambda$  is homeomorphic to  $C$ . Then,  $(\Lambda, \sigma) \triangleright (\Sigma_n, \sigma)$ .*

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. The author would like to thank Professor K. Shiraiwa for the valuable conversations and the suggestions regarding the first version of this manuscript.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Let  $\mathbf{Z}$  denote the set of all integers;  $\mathbf{N}$ , the set of all nonnegative integers; and  $\mathbf{Z}_+$ , the set of all positive integers. Let  $(X, d)$  be a compact metric space. For any two maps  $f$  and  $g$  from  $X$  to itself, we define  $d(f, g) := \sup\{d(f(x), g(x)) \mid x \in X\}$ .

Let  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be a continuous onto mapping. In this manuscript,  $(X, f)$  is called a *topological dynamical system*. A topological dynamical system  $(X, f)$  is *topologically conjugate* to a topological dynamical system  $(Y, g)$  if there exists a homeomorphism  $\psi : Y \rightarrow X$  such that  $f \circ \psi = \psi \circ g$ . Such

a homeomorphism is called a *topological conjugacy*. In this manuscript, we write  $(Y, g) \triangleright (X, f)$  if there exists a sequence of homeomorphisms  $\{\psi_k\}_{k=1,2,\dots}$  from  $Y$  onto  $X$  such that  $\psi_k \circ g \circ \psi_k^{-1} \rightarrow f$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , i.e.  $d(\psi_k \circ g \circ \psi_k^{-1}, f) \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $(X, f)$  be a topological dynamical system. Let  $(Y_k, g_k)$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ) be a sequence of topological dynamical systems. Suppose that there exists a sequence of homeomorphisms  $\psi_k : Y_k \rightarrow X$  such that  $\psi_k \circ g_k \circ \psi_k^{-1} \rightarrow f$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $(Z, h)$  be a topological dynamical system such that  $(Z, h) \triangleright (Y_k, g_k)$  for all  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then,  $(Z, h) \triangleright (X, f)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then, there exists  $N \in \mathbf{Z}_+$  such that  $d(\psi_k \circ g_k \circ \psi_k^{-1}, f) < \epsilon/2$  for all  $k > N$ . Assume  $k > N$ . Let  $\delta > 0$  be such that if  $d(y, y') < \delta$ , then  $d(\psi_k(y), \psi_k(y')) < \epsilon/2$ . Because  $(Z, h) \triangleright (Y_k, g_k)$ , there exists a homeomorphism  $\psi' : Z \rightarrow Y_k$  such that  $d(\psi' \circ h \circ \psi'^{-1}, g_k) < \delta$ . Therefore, we find that  $d((\psi_k \circ \psi') \circ h \circ (\psi_k \circ \psi')^{-1}, f) < d(\psi_k \circ (\psi' \circ h \circ \psi'^{-1}) \circ \psi_k^{-1}, \psi_k \circ g_k \circ \psi_k^{-1}) + d(\psi_k \circ g_k \circ \psi_k^{-1}, f) < \epsilon$ .  $\square$

For a topological dynamical system  $(X, f)$ , we define

$$\text{Per}(X, f) := \{n \in \mathbf{Z}_+ \mid f^n(x) = x \text{ for some } x \in X\}.$$

Let  $(X, f)$  and  $(Y, g)$  be topological dynamical systems. Suppose that  $(Y, g) \triangleright (X, f)$ . Then, for each  $n \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ ,  $(Y, g^n) \triangleright (X, f^n)$ . Consider a sequence of homeomorphisms  $\{\psi_k\}_{k=1,2,\dots}$  from  $Y$  onto  $X$  such that  $\psi_k \circ g \circ \psi_k^{-1} \rightarrow f$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Then, for each  $n \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ , the fixed points of  $\psi_k \circ g^n \circ \psi_k^{-1}$  approach some of the fixed points of  $f^n$ . Thus, we obtain  $\text{Per}(Y, g) \subset \text{Per}(X, f)$ . We write  $(Y, g) \xrightarrow{\text{per}} (X, f)$  if  $\text{Per}(Y, g) \subset \text{Per}(X, f)$ . Thus, we obtain the following:

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $(X, f)$  and  $(Y, g)$  be topological dynamical systems. If  $(Y, g) \triangleright (X, f)$ , then  $(Y, g) \xrightarrow{\text{per}} (X, f)$ .*

Let  $C$  be the Cantor set in the interval  $[0, 1]$ . A compact metrizable totally disconnected perfect space is homeomorphic to  $C$ . Therefore, any non-empty open and closed subset of  $C$  is homeomorphic to  $C$ . Let  $V = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$  be a finite set of  $n$  symbols with discrete topology. Let  $\Sigma(V) := V^{\mathbf{Z}}$  with the product topology. Then,  $\Sigma(V)$  is a compact metrizable totally disconnected perfect space, and hence, it is homeomorphic to  $C$ . We define a homeomorphism  $\sigma : \Sigma(V) \rightarrow \Sigma(V)$  as follows:

$$\sigma(x)_i = x_{i+1} \text{ for all } i \in \mathbf{Z}.$$

The pair  $(\Sigma(V), \sigma)$  is called a *two-sided full shift* of  $n$  symbols. If a closed set  $\Lambda \subset \Sigma(V)$  is invariant under  $\sigma$ , i.e.  $\sigma(\Lambda) = \Lambda$ , then  $(\Lambda, \sigma|_{\Lambda})$  is called a *two-sided subshift*. In this manuscript,  $\sigma|_{\Lambda}$  is abbreviated to  $\sigma$ . A directed graph  $G$  is a pair  $(V, E)$  of a finite set  $V$  of vertices and a set of directed edges  $E \subset V \times V$ . Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a directed graph.  $\Sigma(G)$  denotes the two-sided subshift defined as follows:

$$\Sigma(G) := \{x \in V^{\mathbf{Z}} \mid (x_i, x_{i+1}) \in E \text{ for all } i \in \mathbf{Z}\}.$$

A two-sided subshift is said to be of *finite type* if it is topologically conjugate to  $(\Sigma(G), \sigma)$  for some directed graph  $G$ . Throughout this manuscript, unless

otherwise stated, we assume that all the vertices appear in some element of  $\Sigma(G)$ , i.e. all the vertices of  $G$  have both at least one indegree and at least one outdegree. We define a set of words of length  $k$  in  $\Sigma(G)$  as follows:

$$W(k, G) := \{w_0 w_1 \cdots w_{k-1} \in V^{\{0,1,\dots,k-1\}} \mid (w_i, w_{i+1}) \in E \text{ for all } i = 0, 1, \dots, k-2\}.$$

For a word  $w = a_0 a_1 \cdots a_{k-1}$  of length  $k$  and an integer  $m$ , we define a subset  $C_m(w) \subset \Sigma(G)$  as follows:

$$C_m(w) = \{x \in \Sigma(G) \mid x_{m+i} = a_i \text{ for all } i = 0, 1, \dots, k-1\}.$$

Such a set is called a *cylinder*. Because  $C_m(w)$  is an open and closed subset of  $\Sigma(G)$ , if  $\Sigma(G)$  is homeomorphic to  $C$  and if  $C_m(w)$  is not empty, then  $C_m(w)$  is also homeomorphic to  $C$ . A word  $a_0 a_1 \cdots a_{k-1} \in W(k, G)$  is also called a *path* of length  $k-1$  from  $a_0$  to  $a_{k-1}$  in  $G$ . Let  $x$  be an element of some two-sided subshift. Let  $i \leq j$  be integers. Then, a word  $x_i \cdots x_j$  is also called a *segment* of length  $j-i+1$ .

**Lemma 2.3** (Lemma 1.3 of R. Bowen [1]). *Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a directed graph. Suppose that every vertex of  $V$  has both at least one outdegree and at least one indegree. Then,  $\Sigma(G)$  is topologically mixing if and only if there exists an  $N \in \mathbf{Z}_+$  such that for any pair of vertices  $u$  and  $v$  of  $V$ , there exists a path from  $u$  to  $v$  of length  $n > N$ .*

*Proof.* See Lemma 1.3 of R. Bowen [1].  $\square$

Let  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be a mapping and  $\mathcal{U}$ , a covering of  $X$ . For the sake of conciseness, we define a directed graph  $G_{f, \mathcal{U}} = (V_{f, \mathcal{U}}, E_{f, \mathcal{U}})$  as follows:

$$V_{f, \mathcal{U}} = \mathcal{U} \text{ and}$$

$$(a_0, a_1) \in E_{f, \mathcal{U}} \text{ if } f(a_0) \cap a_1 \neq \emptyset.$$

Note that if  $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{U}$ , then all the vertices have at least one outdegree. In addition, if  $f$  is an onto mapping, then all the vertices have at least one indegree. Let  $(X, d)$  be a compact metric space and  $K \subset X$ . The diameter of  $K$  is defined by  $\text{diam}(K) := \sup\{d(x, y) \mid x, y \in K\}$ . For a finite covering  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$ , we define  $\text{mesh}(\mathcal{U}) := \max\{\text{diam}(U) \mid U \in \mathcal{U}\}$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a compact metric space and  $f : X \rightarrow X$ , a continuous mapping. Then, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta = \delta(f, \epsilon) > 0$  such that*

$$\delta < \frac{\epsilon}{2};$$

$$\text{if } d(x, y) \leq \delta, \text{ then } d(f(x), f(y)) < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

*Proof.* This lemma directly follows from the uniform continuity of  $f$ .  $\square$

For two directed graphs  $G = (V, E)$  and  $G' = (V', E')$ ,  $G$  is said to be a *subgraph* of  $G'$  if  $V \subseteq V'$  and  $E \subseteq E'$ .

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a compact metric space,  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be a continuous mapping, and  $\epsilon > 0$ . Let  $\delta = \delta(f, \epsilon)$  be as in lemma 2.4 and  $\mathcal{U}$ , a finite covering of  $X$  such that  $\text{mesh}(\mathcal{U}) < \delta$ . Let  $g : X \rightarrow X$  be a mapping such that  $G_{g, \mathcal{U}}$  is a subgraph of  $G_{f, \mathcal{U}}$ . Then,  $d(f, g) < \epsilon$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x \in X$ . Then,  $x \in U$  and  $g(x) \in U'$  for some  $U, U' \in \mathcal{U}$ . Because  $G_{g, \mathcal{U}}$  is a subgraph of  $G_{f, \mathcal{U}}$ , there exists a  $y \in U$  such that  $f(y) \in U'$ . Therefore, from lemma 2.4, it follows that

$$d(f(x), g(x)) \leq d(f(x), f(y)) + d(f(y), g(x)) < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \text{diam}(U') < \epsilon.$$

□

From this lemma, we obtain the following:

**Lemma 2.6.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a compact metric space;  $f : X \rightarrow X$ , a continuous mapping; and  $\{\mathcal{U}_k\}_{k=1,2,\dots}$ , a sequence of coverings of  $X$  such that  $\text{mesh}(\mathcal{U}_k) \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $\{g_k\}_{k=1,2,\dots}$  be a sequence of mappings from  $X$  to  $X$  such that  $G_{g_k, \mathcal{U}_k}$  is a subgraph of  $G_{f, \mathcal{U}_k}$  for all  $k$ . Then,  $g_k \rightarrow f$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .*

A covering  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$  is called a partition if  $U \cap U' = \emptyset$  for all  $U, U' \in \mathcal{U}$ , where  $U \neq U'$ . The Cantor set has a partition by open and closed subsets of an arbitrarily small mesh.

**Lemma 2.7.** *Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a directed graph. Suppose that every vertex of  $G$  has both at least one outdegree and at least one indegree. Suppose that  $\Sigma(G)$  is topologically mixing and that  $\Sigma(G)$  is not a single point. Then,  $\Sigma(G)$  is homeomorphic to  $C$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\Sigma(G)$  is topologically mixing. Then, by lemma 2.3, there exists an  $N \in \mathbf{Z}_+$  such that for any pair  $u$  and  $v$  of vertices of  $G$ , there exists a path from  $u$  to  $v$  of length  $n$  for all  $n > N$ . Then, it is easy to check that every point  $x \in \Sigma(G)$  is not isolated. Hence,  $\Sigma(G)$  is homeomorphic to  $C$ . □

### 3. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULT

In this section, we prove certain lemmas and propositions in order to prove the main result. For a mapping  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  and a covering  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$ , the covering  $\{\pi^{-1}(U) \mid U \in \mathcal{U}\}$  is denoted by  $\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{U})$ . For any mapping  $g : Y \rightarrow Y$ , we define a directed graph  $G_{g, \pi, \mathcal{U}} = (V, E)$  as follows:

$$V = \mathcal{U};$$

$$E = \{(a_0, a_1) \in \mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U} \mid \pi(g(\pi^{-1}(a_0))) \cap a_1 \neq \emptyset\}.$$

A vertex  $a$  in  $G_{g, \pi, \mathcal{U}}$  has at least one outdegree if  $\pi^{-1}(a) \neq \emptyset$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be homeomorphic to  $C$ . Let  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be a continuous mapping;  $g : Y \rightarrow Y$ , a mapping; and  $\mathcal{U}_k$ , a sequence of finite partitions of  $X$  by non-empty open and closed subsets such that  $\text{mesh}(\mathcal{U}_k) \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Suppose that there exists a sequence  $\pi_k$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ) of continuous mappings from  $Y$  to  $X$  such that  $\pi_k(Y) \cap U \neq \emptyset$  for all  $U \in \mathcal{U}_k$  and that the directed graph  $G_{g, \pi_k, \mathcal{U}_k}$  is a subgraph of  $G_{f, \mathcal{U}_k}$  for all  $k \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ . Then, there exists a sequence  $\psi_k$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ) of homeomorphisms from  $Y$  onto  $X$  such that  $\psi_k \circ g \circ \psi_k^{-1} \rightarrow f$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $k \in \mathbf{Z}_+$  be fixed. By assumption, for each  $U \in \mathcal{U}_k$ ,  $\pi_k^{-1}(U)$  is a non-empty open and closed subset of  $Y$ . Therefore, there exists a homeomorphism  $\psi_k : Y \rightarrow X$  such that  $\psi_k(\pi_k^{-1}(U)) = U$  for each  $U \in \mathcal{U}_k$ . By construction,  $G_{\psi_k \circ g \circ \psi_k^{-1}, \mathcal{U}_k} = G_{g, \pi_k, \mathcal{U}_k}$ . By assumption,  $G_{\psi_k \circ g \circ \psi_k^{-1}, \mathcal{U}_k}$  is a subgraph of  $G_{f, \mathcal{U}_k}$ . Therefore, the conclusion follows from lemma 2.6.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be homeomorphic to  $C$ . Let  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be a continuous mapping;  $g : Y \rightarrow Y$ , a mapping; and  $\mathcal{U}_k$ , a sequence of finite partitions of  $X$  by non-empty open and closed subsets such that  $\text{mesh}(\mathcal{U}_k) \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Suppose that there exists a sequence of continuous mappings  $\pi_k : Y \rightarrow X$  such that  $g \circ \pi_k = \pi_k \circ f$  and that  $\pi_k(Y) \cap U \neq \emptyset$  for all  $U \in \mathcal{U}_k$ . Then, there exists a sequence  $\psi_k$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ) of homeomorphisms from  $Y$  onto  $X$  such that  $\psi_k \circ g \circ \psi_k^{-1}$  converges uniformly to  $f$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $k \in \mathbf{Z}_+$  be fixed. By assumption, for each  $U \in \mathcal{U}_k$ ,  $\pi_k^{-1}(U)$  is a non-empty open and closed subset of  $Y$ . Therefore, there exists a homeomorphism  $\psi_k : Y \rightarrow X$  such that  $\psi_k(\pi_k^{-1}(U)) = U$  for each  $U \in \mathcal{U}_k$ . Because  $\pi_k(g(\pi_k^{-1}(U))) = f(\pi_k(\pi_k^{-1}(U))) \subset f(U)$ ,  $G_{g, \pi_k, \mathcal{U}_k}$  is a subgraph of  $G_{f, \mathcal{U}_k}$ . Therefore, the conclusion follows from lemma 3.1.  $\square$

Let  $\Lambda$  be a two-sided subshift and  $x \in \Lambda$ . Then, for  $k < l$ , a word  $x_k x_{k+1} \dots x_l$  is said to be  $j$ -periodic if  $k \leq i < i + j \leq l$  implies  $x_i = x_{i+j}$ .

**Lemma 3.3** (Krieger's Marker Lemma, (2.2) of M. Boyle [2]). *Let  $(\Lambda, \sigma)$  be a two-sided subshift. Given  $k > N > 1$ , there exists a closed and open set  $F$  such that*

- (1) *the sets  $\sigma^l(F)$ ,  $0 \leq l < N$ , are disjoint, and*
- (2) *if  $x \in \Lambda$  and  $x_{-k} \dots x_k$  is not a  $j$ -periodic word for any  $j < N$ , then*

$$x \in \bigcup_{-N < l < N} \sigma^l(F).$$

*Proof.* See M. Boyle [2, (2.2)].  $\square$

The next lemma is essentially a part of the proof of the extension lemma given in M. Boyle [2, (2.4)]. The proof essentially follows that of the extension lemma.

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $(\Lambda, \sigma)$  be a two-sided subshift and  $(\Sigma, \sigma)$ , a mixing two-sided subshift of finite type. Let  $W$  be a finite set of words that appear in some elements of  $\Sigma$ . Suppose that  $\Lambda$  is not a finite set of periodic points and that  $(\Lambda, \sigma) \xrightarrow{\text{per}} (\Sigma, \sigma)$ . Then, there exists a continuous shift-commuting mapping  $\pi : \Lambda \rightarrow \Sigma$  such that there exists an element  $x \in \pi(\Lambda)$  in which all words of  $W$  appear as segments of  $x$ .*

*Proof.*  $\Sigma$  is isomorphic to  $\Sigma(G)$  for some directed graph  $G = (V, E)$ . Therefore, without loss of generality, we assume that  $\Sigma = \Sigma(G)$ . Because  $(\Sigma(G), \sigma)$  is a mixing subshift of finite type, there exists an  $n > 0$  such that for every pair of elements  $v, v' \in V$  and every  $m \geq n$ , there exists a word of the form  $v \dots v'$  of length  $m$ . In addition, there exists an element  $\bar{x} \in \Sigma(G)$  such that  $\bar{x}$  contains all words of  $W$  as segments. Let  $w_0$  be a segment of  $\bar{x}$  that contains all words of  $W$ . Let  $n_0$  be the length of the word  $w_0$ . Let  $N = 2n + n_0$ .

If  $v, v' \in V$  and  $m \geq N$ , then there exists a word of the form  $v \dots w_0 \dots v'$  of length  $m \geq N$ . Let  $k > 2N$ . Using Krieger's marker lemma, there exists a closed and open subset  $F \subset \Lambda$  such that the following conditions hold:

- (1) the sets  $\sigma^l(F), 0 \leq l < N$ , are disjoint;
- (2) if  $x \in \Lambda$  and  $x \notin \bigcup_{-N < l < N} \sigma^l(F)$ , then  $x_{-k} \dots x_k$  is a  $j$ -periodic word for some  $j < N$ ;
- (3) the number  $k$  is large enough to ensure that if  $j$  is less than  $N$  and a  $j$ -periodic word of length  $2k + 1$  occurs in some element of  $\Lambda$ , then that word defines a  $j$ -periodic orbit which actually occurs in  $\Lambda$ .

The existence of  $k$  follows from the compactness of  $\Lambda$ . Let  $x \in \Lambda$ . If  $\sigma^i(x) \in F$ , then we *mark*  $x$  at position  $i$ . There exists a large number  $L > 0$  such that whether or not  $\sigma^i(x) \in F$  is determined only by the  $2L + 1$  block  $x_{i-L} \dots x_{i+L}$ . If  $x$  is marked at position  $i$ , then  $x$  is unmarked for position  $l$  with  $i < l < i + N$ . Suppose that  $x_i \dots x_{i'}$  is a segment of  $x$  such that  $x$  is marked at  $i$  and  $i'$  and that  $x$  is unmarked at  $l$  for all  $i < l < i'$ . Then,  $i' - i \geq N$ . If  $x \in \bigcup_{-N < l < N} \sigma^l(F)$ , then  $x$  is marked at some  $i$  where  $-N < i < N$ . Suppose that  $x_{-N+1} \dots x_{N-1}$  is an unmarked segment. Then,  $x \notin \bigcup_{-N < l < N} \sigma^l(F)$ , and according to condition (2)  $x_{-k} \dots x_k$  is a  $j$ -periodic word for some  $j < N$ . Suppose that  $x_i \dots x_{i'}$  is an unmarked segment of length at least  $2N - 1$ , i.e.  $i' - i \geq 2N - 2$ . Then, for each  $l$  with  $i + N - 1 \leq l \leq i' - N + 1$ ,  $x_{l-k} \dots x_{l+k}$  is a  $j$ -periodic word for some  $j < N$ . Therefore, it is easy to check that  $x_{i+N-1-k} \dots x_{i'-N+1+k}$  is a  $j$ -periodic word for some  $j < N$ . In this proof, we call a maximal unmarked segment an *interval*. Let  $x \in \Lambda$ . Let  $\dots x_i$  be a left infinite interval. Then, it is  $j$ -periodic for some  $j < N$ . Similarly, a right infinite interval  $x_i \dots$  is  $j$ -periodic for some  $j < N$ . If  $x$  itself is an interval, then it is a periodic point with period  $j < N$ . If an interval is finite, then it has a length of at least  $N - 1$ . We call intervals of length less than  $2N - 1$  as *short* intervals. We call intervals of length greater than or equal to  $2N - 1$  as *long* intervals. If  $x$  has a long interval  $x_i \dots x_{i'}$ , then  $x_{i+N-1-k} \dots x_{i'-N+1+k}$  is  $j$ -periodic for some  $j < N$ . We have to construct a shift-commuting mapping  $\phi : \Lambda \rightarrow \Sigma$ . Let  $V'$  be the set of symbols of  $\Lambda$ . Let  $\Phi : V' \rightarrow V$  be an arbitrary mapping. Let  $x \in \Lambda$ . Suppose that  $x$  is marked at  $i$ . Then, we let  $(\phi(x))_i$  be  $\Phi(x_i)$ . We map periodic points of period  $j < N$  to periodic points of  $\Sigma$ . Then, we construct a coding of  $\phi(x)$  in three parts. For any  $(v, v', l) \in V \times V \times \{N - 1, N, N + 1, \dots, 2N - 2\}$ , we choose a word  $\Psi(v, v', l)$  in  $G$  of length  $l$  such that the word of the form  $v\Psi(v, v', l)v'$  is a path in  $G$ .

(A) *Coding for short interval:* Let  $x_i \dots x_{i'}$  be a short interval. Then,  $x$  is marked at  $i - 1$  and  $i' + 1$ . We have already defined a code for position  $i - 1$  and  $i' + 1$  as  $\Phi(x_{i-1})$  and  $\Phi(x_{i'+1})$ , respectively. The coding for  $\{i, i + 1, i + 2, \dots, i'\}$  is defined by the path  $\Psi(\Phi(x_{i-1}), \Phi(x_{i'+1}), i' - i + 1)$ .

(B) *Coding for periodic segment:* For an infinite or a long interval, there exists a corresponding periodic point of  $\Lambda$ . The periodic points of  $\Lambda$  are already mapped to periodic points of  $\Sigma$ . Therefore, an infinite or a long periodic segment can be mapped to a naturally corresponding periodic segment.

(C) *Coding for transition part:* To consider a transition segment, let  $x_i \dots x_{i'}$  be a long interval. Then,  $x_{i-1}$  has already been mapped to  $\Phi(x_{i-1})$

and  $x_{i+N-1}$  is mapped according to periodic points. Assume  $x_{i+N-1}$  is mapped to  $v_0$ . The segment  $x_{i-1} \dots x_{i+N-1}$  has length  $N+1$ . We map the segment  $x_i \dots x_{i+N-2}$  to  $\Psi(\Phi(x_{i-1}), v_0, N-1)$ . In the same manner, the transition coding of right hand side of a long interval is defined. In the same manner, the transition coding of the left or the right infinite interval is defined. It is easy to check that there exists a large number  $L' > 0$  such that the coding of  $(\phi(x))_i$  is determined only by the block  $x_{i-L'} \dots x_{i+L'}$ . Therefore,  $\phi : \Lambda \rightarrow \Sigma$  is continuous. Because  $\Lambda$  is not a set of finite periodic points, there exists an  $x \in \Lambda$  such that  $x$  contains at least one transition segment or at least one short interval. In the above coding, we can take  $\Psi$  such that a short interval or a transition segment is mapped to a word that involves  $w_0$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.5.** *Let  $(\Sigma, \sigma)$  be a topologically mixing two-sided subshift of finite type such that  $\Sigma$  is homeomorphic to  $C$ . Let  $(\Lambda, \sigma)$  be a two-sided subshift such that  $\Lambda$  is homeomorphic to  $C$ .*

*Then,  $(\Lambda, \sigma) \triangleright (\Sigma, \sigma)$  if and only if  $(\Lambda, \sigma) \xrightarrow{\text{per}} (\Sigma, \sigma)$ .*

*Proof.* If  $(\Lambda, \sigma) \triangleright (\Sigma, \sigma)$ , then by lemma 2.2, we obtain  $(\Lambda, \sigma) \xrightarrow{\text{per}} (\Sigma, \sigma)$ . Suppose that  $(\Lambda, \sigma) \xrightarrow{\text{per}} (\Sigma, \sigma)$ . Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $\Sigma = \Sigma(G)$  for some directed graph  $G = (V, E)$ . We assume that every vertex of  $V$  has both at least one outdegree and at least one indegree. Let  $k \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ . Because  $(\Sigma, \sigma)$  is topologically mixing, by lemma 3.4, there exists a continuous shift-commuting mapping  $\pi_k : \Lambda \rightarrow \Sigma$  and  $x \in \pi_k(\Lambda)$  such that  $x$  contains all words of length  $2k+1$  of  $\Sigma$ . Let  $\mathcal{U}_k = \{C_{-k}(w) \mid w \in W(2k+1, G)\}$ . Then,  $\pi_k(\Lambda) \cap U \neq \emptyset$  for all  $U \in \mathcal{U}_k$ . Because  $k$  is arbitrary, by lemma 3.2, we conclude that  $(\Lambda, \sigma) \triangleright (\Sigma(G), \sigma)$ .  $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.1

*Proof.* If  $(\Lambda, \sigma) \triangleright (X, f)$ , then by lemma 2.2, we obtain  $(\Lambda, \sigma) \xrightarrow{\text{per}} (X, f)$ . Let  $(\Lambda, \sigma) \xrightarrow{\text{per}} (X, f)$  hold. Consider a sequence  $\{\mathcal{U}_k\}_{k=1,2,\dots}$  of partitions of  $X$  by non-empty open and closed subsets such that  $\text{mesh}(\mathcal{U}_k) \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Assume  $k \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ . Let  $G_k = G_{f, \mathcal{U}_k}$ . Let  $\delta > 0$  be such that any  $x, x' \in X$  with  $d(x, x') < \delta$  are contained in the same element of  $\mathcal{U}_k$ . Let  $\{x_0, x_1\}$  be a  $\delta$  chain. Let  $U, U' \in \mathcal{U}_k$  be such that  $x_0 \in U$  and that  $x_1 \in U'$ . Then,  $f(U) \cap U' \neq \emptyset$ . Therefore,  $(U, U')$  is an edge of  $G_k$ . Let  $U, V \in \mathcal{U}_k$ . Let  $x \in U$  and  $y \in V$ . Because  $f$  is chain mixing, there exists an  $N > 0$  such that for every  $n > N$ , there exists a  $\delta$  chain from  $x$  to  $y$  of length  $n$ . Therefore, for every  $n > N$ , there exists a path in  $G_k$  from  $U$  to  $V$  of length  $n$ . From Lemma 2.3,  $(\Sigma(G_k), \sigma)$  is topologically mixing. By lemma 2.7,  $\Sigma(G_k)$  is homeomorphic to  $C$ . Therefore, there exists a homeomorphism  $\psi_k : \Sigma(G_k) \rightarrow X$  such that for any vertex  $u$  of  $G_k$ ,  $\psi_k(C_0(u)) = u$ . By construction, we obtain  $G_{\psi_k \circ \sigma \circ \psi_k^{-1}, \mathcal{U}_k} = G_{f, \mathcal{U}_k}$ . Because  $\text{mesh}(\mathcal{U}_k) \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , by lemma 2.6, we find that  $\psi_k \circ \sigma \circ \psi_k^{-1} \rightarrow f$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . On the other hand, it is easy to verify that  $\text{Per}(X, f) \subset \text{Per}(\Sigma(G_k), \sigma)$ . By assumption, we obtain  $\text{Per}(\Lambda, \sigma) \subset \text{Per}(\Sigma(G_k), \sigma)$ . From proposition 3.5, we obtain  $(\Lambda, \sigma) \triangleright (\Sigma(G_k), \sigma)$ . Therefore, by lemma 2.1, we obtain  $(\Lambda, \sigma) \triangleright (X, f)$ .  $\square$

Proof of Corollary 1.2



*Proof.* If a topological dynamical system  $(X, f)$  has a fixed point  $x_0$ , then  $\text{Per}(X, f) = \mathbf{Z}_+$ . Therefore, the proof is a direct consequence of theorem 1.1.  $\square$

Proof of Corollary 1.3

*Proof.* Let  $(\Lambda, \sigma)$  be a two-sided subshift without periodic points. Then,  $\text{Per}(\Lambda, \sigma) = \emptyset$ . Therefore, from theorem 1.1, the conclusion follows.  $\square$

Proof of Corollary 1.4

*Proof.* A two-sided full shift is chain mixing and has a fixed point. Therefore, the conclusion is a direct consequence of corollary 1.2.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Bowen, R., *Equilibrium states and the ergodic theory of Anosov diffeomorphisms*, Lecture Notes in Math. **470**, Springer, 1975.
- [2] Boyle, M., *Lower entropy factors of sofic systems*, Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys. **4** (1984), 541–557.
- [3] Kimura, T., *Homeomorphisms of zero-dimensional spaces*, Tsukuba J. Math. **12** (1988), 489–495.
- [4] Shimomura, T., *The pseudo-orbit tracing property and expansiveness on the Cantor set*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **106** (1989), 241–244.
- [5] Walters, P., *On the pseudo orbit tracing property and its relation to stability*, The Structure of Attraction in Dynamical Systems, Lecture Notes in Math. **668**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg and New York, 1978, pp.231–244.

NAGOYA KEIZAI UNIVERSITY, UCHIKUBO 61-1, INUYAMA 484-8504, JAPAN  
*E-mail address:* tkshimo@nagoya-ku.ac.jp